REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the instant application in view of the amendments, herein, and the following remarks:

The following claims are *pending*: 53, 71-73, 77, 95-97, 101, 119-121.

The following claims are *independent*: 53, 77, 101.

The following claims have previously been *cancelled* without prejudice or disclaimer: 1-53.

Please *cancel* the following claims without prejudice or disclaimer: <u>54-70, 74-76, 78-94, 98-100, 102-118, 122-124.</u>

Please *amend* claims 53, 77, 101; although these claims have been amended herein to provide clarification, correct typographical inaccuracies and/or informalities, and/or to better track practical/commercial implementations/practices (hereinafter "amendment," "amendments," and/or "amended"), Applicant submits that the originally filed claims are patentable and reserves the right to pursue the originally filed claims (as well as any claims dependent therefrom) at a later time and/or in one or more continuation/divisional application(s). Applicant submits that these new claims and/or claim amendments are supported throughout the originally filed specification and that no new matter has been added by way of these amendments.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the indication that claims 70-73, 94-97 and 118-121 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitation of the base

Docket No.: 17231-004US1 11 Serial No.: 10/808,810

claim and any intervening claims (See, December 16, 2009 Office Action, p. 2). Applicant has rewritten independent claims 53, 77, and 101 to include the limitations of claims 70, 94, and 118, respectively, and has cancelled claims 70, 94, and 118. Accordingly, Applicant submits that the Examiner's objections and/or rejections with regard to claims 53, 71-73, 77, 95-97, 101, 119-121 have been rendered moot, and respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all claims.

CONCLUSION

Applicant asserts that the above remarks, which distinguish the claims over the cited reference(s), pertained only to noted claim element portions. These remarks are believed to be sufficient to overcome the prior art to this Office Action (and/or any previous office action(s)) (hereinafter "Office Action(s)")). While many other claim elements and/or bases for rejection were not discussed, as they have been rendered moot based on the above amendments and/or remarks, Applicant asserts that all such remaining and not discussed claim elements and/or bases for rejection, all, also are distinguished over the prior art, and Applicant reserves the opportunity to more particularly traverse, remark and/or distinguish over any such remaining claim elements and/or bases for rejection at a later time, should it become necessary. Further, any remarks that were made in response to the Office Action(s)' objection and/or rejection as to any one claim element, and which may have been re-asserted as applying to other Office Action(s) objection(s) and/or rejection(s) as to any other claim element(s), any such re-assertion(s) of remarks are not meant to imply that there is commonality about the structure, functionality, means, operation, and/or scope of any of the

claim element(s), and no such commonality is admitted as a consequence of any such reassertion(s) of remarks. Consequently, the reference(s) cited the Office Action(s) do not result
in the claimed invention(s), there was/is no motivation, basis and/or rationale for such a
combination of references (i.e., cited references do not teach, read on, suggest, or result in the
claimed invention(s)), and the claimed invention(s) are not admitted to be prior art. Also,
Applicant does not accept, admit, and/or concede to any assertions, (mis)characterizations
(e.g., of claims, references, and/or otherwise), and/or Official Notice(s) in the Office
Action(s). As such, Applicant does not concede that any claim element(s) have been
anticipated and/or rendered obvious by any of the cited reference(s) and/or any Official
Notice in the Office Action(s). Thus, the Applicant respectfully submits that the supporting
remarks and claimed inventions, claims 53, 71-73, 77, 95-97, 101, 119-121, all: overcome all
rejections and/or objections as noted in the Office Action(s), are patentable over and
discriminated from the cited reference(s), and are in a condition for allowance. Accordingly,
Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection(s) and/or
objection(s), and allowance of all claims.

Authorization

Applicant hereby authorizes and requests that the Commissioner charge any

additional fees that may be required for consideration of this and/or any accompanying

and/or necessary papers to Deposit Account No. 03-1240, Order No. 17231-004US1. In the

event that an extension of time is required (or which may be required in addition to that

requested in a petition for an extension of time), Applicant requests that the Commissioner

grant a petition for an extension of time required to make this response timely, and,

Applicant hereby authorizes and requests that the Commissioner charge any fee or credit any

overpayment for such an extension of time to Deposit Account No. 03-1240, Order No. 17231-

004US1.

In the event that a telephone conference would facilitate examination of the

application in any way, Applicant invites the Examiner to contact the undersigned at the

number provided.

Respectfully submitted, Attorney(s) for Applicant,

CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP

Dated: <u>April 14, 2010</u>

By:/Daniel C. Sheridan/

Daniel C. Sheridan

Registration No.: 53,585

Correspondence Address:

CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP

30 Rockefeller Plaza

New York, NY 10112

212-408-5100 (Telephone)

212-541-5369 (Facsimile)

patents@chadbourne.com (E-mail)

NY2 - 544380.01